# Thinking about Karst and World Heritage

### Elery Hamilton-Smith, AM

Chair IUCN / WCPA Task Force on Cave and Karst Protection P.O. Box 36, Carlton South, Victoria 3053. elery@alphalink.com.au



#### Abstract

Various aspects of the operation of the World Heritage Convention have been reviewed over the last several years. The actual inscription criteria and process have been changed to reduce the differences between natural and cultural sites. This may well be of benefit to those seeking recognition of karst sites as many such sites have both natural and cultural values. At the same time, every effort is being made to reduce the number of new inscriptions, while at the same time endeavoring to ensure that the list is balanced, representative and credible. Efforts are being made to establish frameworks to enable more adequate assessment of representivity, and this paper will propose and examine a potential framework for cave and karst sites.

### The Basis of World Heritage

The World Heritage Convention, initially adopted by UNESCO in 1972, was established in order to provide for the proper identification, protection, conservation and presentation of the world's irreplaceable heritage (Convention 1-2.). It first came into operation in 1976. Any governments that are signatories to the convention may nominate potentially appropriate properties; the World Heritage Committee shall consider such nominations, and if the committee considers a nomination meets the established criteria, then the property concerned is inscribed on the World Heritage register.

The basic rules of the World Heritage program are established within the Convention (www.unesco.org/whc/ world\_he.htm). A further document, named Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention, sets out the principles upon which judgments and decisions are made. Since 2000, the Guidelines have been thoroughly reviewed and amended (some details below) and the amendments were formally adopted in February 2005 (http://whc.unesco.org/opgutoc.htm).

The main change in the guidelines lies in the merging and integration of the cultural and natural criteria for assessment. Beyond this, they provide a detailed description of the role and responsibilities of each of the partners (State Parties, General Assembly, Committee and Advisory Bodies). They emphasise the participatory role of all stakeholders. Many concepts and definitions are clarified, including Global Strategy, thematic studies, comparative analysis, serial and trans-boundary properties, boundaries and buffer zones, referral and deferral, and procedures for boundary extensions or name changes.

## A Balanced, Representative and **Credible List**

A paper from IUCN (April 2004) spelled out the principle that there should be a more systematic strategy in place to ensure a more balanced, representative and credible list. However, it is based upon acceptance that the essential and most basic criterion required for inscription is that any property must be of Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) (Convention 1.). Any other strategy must be subsumed within this basic and universal criterion, and so it is also recognised that genuine representation of all natural systems will be neither feasible nor desirable. Current Initiatives include:

- A palaentological sites study (Wells 1995) which reviews existing sites and identifies gaps,
- A process now working towards developing a similar report on geological sites and many other parallel studies of various groups of sites,
- The recently distributed broadly based Strategy Paper which discusses general principles and then examines representation across biomes and biogeographic regions.

At present, the only karst-specific lists are in the Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Forum on Karst Ecosystems and World Heritage Mulu (2001) and the Proceedings of the 2004 Lipice Forum on the European region.

This paper will

- Identify the extent to which most karst sites have wide-ranging multi-dimensional values,
- Summarise characteristics of the currently inscribed karst sites,
- Examine the feasibility of categorising karst sites as a basis for identifying significant gaps.

### **World Heritage**

Some 50 karst sites have been inscribed. Many of these have other values and in some cases, the nomination and assessment processes, and hence management, give little attention to karst values. Only three are listed as mixed (natural and cultural) sites, while another nine are inscribed only as cultural sites.

Karst properties are often complex and dynamic, with multiple values. A seminal paper by Yuan Daoxian (1988) emphasised the interactivity and complexity of the karst environmental system. This concept was neatly summarised by an Australian karst scientist as "an extensive network of hydrologically integrated karst conduits fed by numerous tributary streams. This conduit network forms part of a karst system, incorporating component landforms, as well as life, energy, water, gases, soils and bedrock" (Eberhard 1994: 8).

So, karst often has ...

- Invaluable geological data (particularly in the cave
- Important geomorphic structures and processes,
- Characteristic surface and often significant landscapes,
- Important surface ecosystems,
- Even more important subterranean ecosystems,
- Cultural heritage: pre-historic, historic and living.

## **Towards Categories of Karst**

It is extremely difficult to establish simple neat categories for such a multi-dimensional phenomenon as karst. What follows is still a draft, despite papers, displays and discussions on a number of occasions. Further comments will be indeed welcome.

Potential opportunities are listed as examples of the kind of sites that might be considered. Some are currently undergoing preparation for submission of a nomination, others have been formally recommended for nomination (e.g., at the Mulu and Lipice forums) while still others are simply outstanding examples. However, their inclusion in this list is not an endorsement or recommendation for inscription on the World Heritage List but serves to provide illustrative examples. The list has been compiled by the author following consultation with a large number of international karst experts and is not an official position of IUCN or WCPA.

In the listing of sites that follows, already inscribed World Heritage sites are shown in **bold type** at the first reference, other potential site opportunities in regular font and second or even further appearances in the list are in italics.

Obviously, there are two major categories at the beginning which each comprise a diversity of sites, but it has been difficult to identify further key categories within these. Several people have commented that one or more of these sites should be considered as being in a category of their own as unique locations. But because of its multi-dimensional complexity, this is true of virtually all karst sites! However, Osborne (in press) has identified the extent to which some of these sites and some others have a distinctive character. This results from the complex multiphase and multi-process evolutionary processes to which the sites concerned have been subject. The sites that he has identified include the Eastern highlands impounded karsts of Australia together with sites in the Czech Republic, Slovakia and Hungary.

### Acknowledgements

In addition to its presentation at the IGCP 448 conference: Limestone Coast 2004, this paper was also presented at the Trans-Karst 2004 meeting in Hanoi, and discussed on both of these occasions and also informally at the Lipice Forum. I am indeed grateful to all those who commented, and in particular to Boris Sket of Slovenia, who contributed greatly to this version of the paper.

#### References

- Eberhard, R., 1994. Inventory and Management of the Junee River Karst System, Tasmania. Hobart: Forestry Tasmania.
- IUCN, 1994. The World Heritage List: Future priorities for a credible and complete list of natural and mixed sites.
- Krancj, Andre (ed.) (in prep.). Proceedings of the Forum on Karst and World Heritage in Europe, Lipice, Slovenia. Acta Carsologica.
- Osborne, R.A.L., in press. Multiphase and multi-process Caves of the Impounded Karsts of Eastern Australia: A Basis for World Heritage Listing?
- UNESCO, 1972. World Heritage Convention. www.unesco.org/whc/world he.htm
- UNESCO, 2002, 2005. Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention. http://whc.unesco.org/opgutoc.htm
- Wells, R.T. 1995. Earth's Geological History: a contextual framework for assessment of World Heritage fossil site nominations. Report prepared for IUCN.
- Wong, T., E. Hamilton-Smith, S. Chape & H. Friederich (eds.) 2001. Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Forum on Karst Ecosystems and World Heritage, Mulu, Malaysia. Environment Australia for International Union for Conservation of Nature.
- Yuan, Daoxian. 1988. On the Karst Environmental System. Karst Hydrology and Karst Environment: Proceedings of the International Association of Hydrology 21st Congress, Beijing, pp. 30-46.

Proposed Category	Site Names / State	Examples of Potential Opportunities
Particularly large and complex sites	Mammoth Cave, USA Carlsbad Caverns, USA Three Rivers, China Aggtelek & Slovak Karst, Hungary & Slovakia Gunung Mulu, Malaysia Western Caucasus, Russia Skocjanske Jame, Slovenia Phong Nha Ke Bang, Vietnam Puerto Princesa, Philippines	Nullarbor Plain, Australia Postojna-Planina, Slovenia Moravian Karst, Czech Republic Ghar Alisadr, Iran Kahurangi, New Zealand Hin Namno, Lao PDR (as a transboundary park with Phong Nha Ke Bang) Maros Karst, Indonesia Niah Great Cave, Malaysia Gomantong, Malaysia Various Papua New Guinea, including Kikori-Darai, Muller Plateau, Nakanai- Whiteman Ranges, Hindenburg Wall
Impounded Karsts  [often relatively small areas of karst surrounded by other rocks, and receiving (allogenic) water drainage from those other rocks ]	Canadian Rockies, Canada Nahanni, Canada Pyrennes-Mont Perdu, France & Spain Grand Canyon, USA Tasmanian Wilderness, Australia Blue Mountains, Australia Eastern Rainforests, Australia Te Wahipounamu, New Zealand Pirin, Bulgaria Durmitor, Yugoslavia Thung Yai Hua Kha Khaeng, Thailand Lorentz, Indonesia Lake Baikal, Russia	
Geodiversity on Towerkarst, Cone karst, and similar	Tsingy de Bemeraha, Madagascar Ha Long Bay, Vietnam Wulingyuan, China Vinales Valley, Cuba	China (proposed serial nomination): Guizhou, Shilin, Guilin/Yangshuo, Mt Jin Fo, Wulong, Fengdu, Fenjie. Gunung Sewu, Indonesia Sangkulirang, Indonesia West Kimberley ranges, Australia
Desert Karsts		Nullarbor Plain, Australia Namibia Various Central Asia
Aeolian or Syngenetic sites	Lord Howe Island, Australia	Margaret River and Limestone Coast, Australia
Karst in non-limestone rocks	Purnululu, Australia (quartzite) Cainama, Venezuela (quartzite) Wulingyuan, China (sandstone)	Brasilian quartzite caves Ruined City, Arnhem Land, Australia (quartzite) Italian gypsum karst Kungur Ice Cave, Russia (gypsum) Thai halite karst
Sulphur-based Karst	Carlsbad Caverns, USA	Cueva de Villa Luz, Mexico Movile Cave, Romania
Travertine Terraces	Huanglong, China Jiuzhaigou, China Plitvice, Croatia Pamukkale, Turkey	
Island Sites	Alejandro de Humboldt, Cuba East Rennell, Solomons Henderson (Pitcairn), UK	Fiji Niue Palau Trobriand Is., Papua New Guinea
Marine / Coastal sites	Desembarco del Granma and Cabo Cruz Terraces, Cuba Shark Bay, Australia	Blue and Black Holes, Bahamas Cape Range & Ningaloo Reef, Australia (Nomination pending) Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea Submerged caves of the Pacific and Caribbean

# **World Heritage**

Proposed Category	Site Names / State	Examples of Potential Opportunities
Hydrological Diversity	Sian Ka'an, Mexico Skocjanske Jame, Slovenia Phong Nha Ke Bang, Vietnam Puerto Princesa, Philippines	Katavores of Argostoli, Greece
Cenote Karst	Chichen Itza, Mexico	Yucatan, Mexico Florida, USA Limestone Coast, Australia
Mineralogically diverse sites	Carlsbad Caverns, USA	France (Serial nomination pending) Cupp-Coutunn, Turkmenistan Black Hills, USA
Climatologically rich sites	Skocjanske Jame, Slovenia Aggtelek & Slovak Karst, Hungary & Slovakia	Nullarbor Plain, Australia Kungur Ice Cave, Russia
Biodiversity	Mammoth Cave, USA Skocjanske Jame, Slovenia Gunung Mulu, Malaysia Sian Ka'an, Mexico Tasmanian Wilderness, Australia Blue Mountains, Australia	Nullarbor Plain, Australia Cape Range & Ningaloo Reef, Australia (Nomination pending) Postojna-Planina, Slovenia Vjetrenica, Bosnia & Herzegovina Cueva Guacharo, Venezuela Niah Great cave, Malaysia Gomantong, Malaysia Sangkulirang, Indonesia Submerged caves of the Pacific and Caribbean
Palaeontological sites	Fossil Mammal Sites (Naracoorte and Riversleigh), Australia Atapuerca, Spain Grand Canyon, USA	Nullarbor Plain, Australia Kahurangi, New Zealand (especially at Karamea) Bärenhöhle, Switzerland
Archaeological sites	Zhoukoudian, China Altamira, Spain Fossil Hominoid Sites, South Africa Caves of the Vézères, France Grand Canyon, USA Atapuerca, Spain Chichen Itza, Mexico	Nullarbor Plain, Australia Piatra Altarului, Romania Grotte Chauvet, France
Socially or culturally rich sites	Vinales Valley, Cuba Sodra Olands Odlingslandskap, Sweden Luang Prabang, Lao PDR Chichen Itza, Mexico	

